



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/817,917	03/26/2001		Sanjay Mathur	05222.00109	8131		
30498	7590	06/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
VEDDER PRICE/ACCENTURE 222 NORTH LASALLE STREET				SHIN, KYUNG H			
CHICAGO, IL 60601		011001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2143			

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ĭ.	/~	-
_	(-

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Bri	ef					

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/817,917	MATHUR, SANJAY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kyung H. Shin	2143		

Defere the Filips of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kyung H. Shin	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
 THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API		•					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have							
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	hut prior to the date of filing a brid	f will not be entered	bassuss				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	The state of the s	, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Nation of Annual will a					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>Examiner's prior art is applicable, thus claims rejection is maintained.</u> KHS 6/25/05.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
		1/					
		TAND LAND					
	Clibroy	DAMO WILEY					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100, No. 20050625